Experts provide 30 fixes for California recycling system

resource-recycling.com/recycling/2021/07/07/experts-provide-30-fixes-for-california-recycling-system

By Colin Staub

July 7, 2021



The California Statewide Commission on Recycling Markets & Curbside Recycling in late June published an expansive report with recommendations for lawmakers. | Nordroden / Shutterstock

A government-convened commission of California recycling stakeholders has outlined steps to boost markets and cut contamination. But the group's report also leaves PP plastic off a key initial list of accepted materials, drawing quick pushback from national associations.

The California Statewide Commission on Recycling Markets & Curbside Recycling in late June <u>published</u> an expansive report aimed at providing recommendations for state lawmakers and regulators. The 183-page document suggests a wide array of policies that the commission "unanimously considered most urgent," according to the report.

The commission centered on policy changes that would further four key goals: reducing safety hazards to recycling facilities, workers and surrounding communities; eliminating packaging that impedes recycling; improving recycling market efficacy; and ensuring recyclables are processed in a way that conforms to "the environmental and social intent of recovery efforts."

Many specific recommendations in each of those areas were made by the commission. However, it was a single determination for polypropylene (PP) packaging that generated immediate industry chatter.

Materials list can 'send a signal upstream'

As part of its recommendations, the commission created a list of products that are "recyclable and compostable and regularly collected in California curbside recycling programs," using specific parameters to determine which materials make the cut. The group recommends the state only allow materials included on this list to be marketed and labeled as "recyclable" in the state.

"In addition to reducing contamination in the solid waste system, this proposal allows consumers to make informed purchasing decisions based on the recyclability of the items they purchase," the report states. "The proposal will also send a signal upstream to manufacturers to choose recyclable packaging choices and to support California's recycling markets by purchasing recycled material at sustainable prices."

PP plastic, which is labeled No. 5 in the resin identification code, was not included on the list. The decision comes in the wake of the <u>How2Recycle program last year downgrading</u> certain PP packaging formats from "widely recycled" to "check locally."

In its recent report, the California commission said local programs should be "encouraged to accept only products and material types and forms that are separated into individual marketable grades, not requiring secondary sorting or separation, and reused as raw material for new products." However, it added the policy does not intend to outright prohibit local programs from accepting certain materials, even those not on the list.

Shortly after the commission's report was released, several industry organizations countered that PP should be on the list, in light of a national push to increase collection and demand for the resin.

The Recycling Partnership, the Association of Plastic Recyclers (APR) and Closed Loop Partners issued a July 1 joint statement outlining how the report "concerns our organizations" due to the list, which includes PET and HDPE bottles but no additional plastics.

The Recycling Partnership has recently worked to boost PP recovery through its <u>Polypropylene Recycling Coalition</u>, which is <u>providing grants</u> to MRFs to increase collection of the material. APR serves in an advisory role to the coalition. Closed Loop Partners, meanwhile, has <u>conducted research and analysis</u> on improving polypropylene recovery and demand. (APR owns Resource Recycling, Inc., publisher of Resource Recycling.)

"We believe the recommendation not to include PP on the list underestimates the recycling access, capture, and marketability of PP in California and could prove detrimental to PP recycling and waste reduction within the state and nationwide," the groups wrote.

Heidi Sanborn, chair of the California commission and executive director of the National Stewardship Action Council, told Resource Recycling the accepted material list is not static and that there's a process for adding and removing materials.

Under criteria laid out in the report, a material type must be separated into an individual grade by at least 75% of curbside MRFs in the state for that material to be eligible for "full acceptance" on the recyclable list. Materials separated by at least 60% of MRFs are eligible for "conditional acceptance," provided it can be demonstrated that at an additional 15% of MRFs have agreements to separate the material within 12 months.

For material separated by even fewer MRFs, advocates can submit a plan to CalRecycle for how the material can hit 60% separation, and upon approval the material can receive "conditional acceptance" status.

"We want people to be able to get onto the recyclable list, but they have to actually achieve the goal; they can't just talk about achieving the goal," Sanborn told Resource Recycling.

Recommendations on export policy and more

The commission process was kicked off by the 2019 California Recycling Market Development Act and subsequent legislation, which seeked recommendations on how the state can meet its recycling goals. Those include recycling, composting or source-reducing at least 75% of solid waste generated in the state by 2020 (the state missed this goal, hitting an estimated 37% in 2020).

The commission includes members representing diverse interests within the recycling sector, including government recycling coordinators, haulers and MRF operators, nonprofit advocacy organizations, local officials and more.

In a release, the commission noted the report has significance beyond California's borders.

"The problems facing California are virtually the same as those being faced by other states, and we hope these recommendations can inspire policymakers across this country to take action," Sanborn noted in announcing the report.

The commission approached its recommendations with a few priorities above all others: stop the export of recycled materials from California to countries that lack the ability to properly handle the materials, ensure those products have markets within the state, and increase the number of container redemption centers in the state. "The public is less likely to participate in recycling if it is inconvenient, if they feel items are not actually being recycled, or if environmental justice concerns are not being addressed," the report states.

To these points, the commission recommends California <u>encourage federal action to ratify</u> <u>the Basel Convention</u>. The group also said mixed plastics that are exported should be considered "disposal" when it comes to a community meeting its disposal reduction targets.

Additionally, the group said the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle), which regulates recycling within the state, should ensure residents have access to "clear information on where their recyclable materials are sent, including the names and locations of the specific facilities where material is sent once it leaves the United States, even if it is being handled by a broker."

To improve container redemption access through the state's deposit return system – which has been plagued by <u>mass closures</u> of redemption facilities across the state – the commission recommended the state budget \$10 million per year to assist with opening or reopening redemption centers, and provide up to \$10,000 per month to help new locations in underserved areas.

The report also suggests CalRecycle be given greater authority to make temporary changes in response to redemption program needs.

Markets, standardization, safety and more

The committee also recommended the state eventually require 90% post-consumer recycled content in all fiber-based personal care products sold in California. And it recommended requiring that the recycled pulp used for those products be derived from California MRFs, a way of promoting in-state production of recycled fiber.

The commission also favors updating the existing state agency "buy recycled" campaign, through which state offices are required to purchase recycled-content products. Updates could include increasing enforcement, expanding the campaign's coverage, verifying product recycled content claims, and more.

Finally, to reduce MRF fires and other such hazards, the report recommends expanding hazardous waste EPR policies to cover more "problem" products, such as more batteries and other materials. Along similar lines, the report urges the state to support a transition from single-use to reusable propane canisters, products that have been involved in a number of waste facility fires.